



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,480 04/21/2004		04/21/2004	John Lair	64337.000002	7194	
21967	7590	07/01/2005	·	EXAM	EXAMINER	
HUNTO	۷ & WILI	LIAMS LLP	CAI, WAY	CAI, WAYNE HUU		
	CTUAL PI TREET, N.	ROPERTY DEPARTN W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 12	00 ´	•		2681		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109				DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/828,480	LAIR, JOHN	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wayne Cai	2681	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief			·			
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wayne Cai	2681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires</li></ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>		o final rejection, whicheve	oric later In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	d for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  neck either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mon	ths of the date			
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	••	oducina or cimplifying	the iccues for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially it	saucing or simplifying	g the issues for .			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amandman	+ (PTOL 324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendmen	(F10L-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		rill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affida of the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	nils to provide a (1).			
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13.  Other:						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to arguments of claims 1, 6, 15-16, 18, 23, 26, 28-29, and 32, even though Lenz does not show the wireless transmission of a transmit mode signal. Lenz, however, expressly shows the wired transmission of a transmit mode signal. Inherently, in a "push-to-talk" feature, there must be two separate mode, a transmit mode and a receive mode. It literrally means that "push" to talk or to transmit the signal to the other end. Also, it is obvious to one skill in the art to modify between wired and wireless headset. Furthermore, one skill in the art would know that the information in communications in the short range between the wireless headset and the device is a packetized digital information (i.e., Bluetooth...).

In response to arguments of claims 3, 11, 34, the Examiner again disagrees with the arguments because in fig. 1, it shows that the button 24 is positioned on the microphone assembly, or substantially coaxially with the ear insert. Even if Lenz does not show that the switch is positioned on the microphone assembly, the disposition of the switch does not affect the functionalities of the headset. Hence, it is not novel.

In response to arguments of claims 7-12, the cited references (e.g. Lenz (US - 5,101,504), Bae (US - 6,795,718 B2), and Hahn (US - 6,230,029)) disclose different types of headset communication device. In addition, Bae discloses the comfortable material is being used for the wireless headset (see col. 4, lines 26-46). Evidently, various types of headsets are being used prior to the claimed invention.

ERIKA A. GARY) RIMARY EXAMINER

Tupeliniar -